Choice Reviews Online 11/11/13 10:44 PM

CHOICE REVIEWS ONLINE

The Future of Streaming Video



HOME CURRENT ISSUE ADVANCED SEARCH WEB EXCLUSIVES MY PROFILE MY MONTHLY REVIEWS MY LISTS ABOUT US HELP

QUICK SEARCH

Author/Editor

All issues

GO

Advanced Search >>

Saved Search Strategies>>

ADVERTISER INDEX

FORTHCOMING TITLES LISTS

RECENT ISSUES

Sep 2013 Aug 2013 Jul 2013 Jun 2013

OUTSTANDING
ACADEMIC
TITLES

...

About OAT 2009 OAT List 2010 OAT List 2011 OAT List 2012 OAT List

About Choice CRO System Requirements Top Tips for Using CRO FAQ Help Information for Advertisers

Information for Publishers Information for Subscribers Information for Reviewers Contact Us

FULL TEXT REVIEW

+ ADD TO LIST 🕟 DOWNLOAD 🚨 PRINT 🔀 EMAIL

49-2958 JC263 2009-51356 CIP

Social & Behavioral Sciences \ Political Science \ Political Theory Marder, Michael. Groundless existence: the political ontology of Carl Schmitt. Continuum International Publishers Group, 2010. 190p afp; ISBN 9780826465955, \$120.00. Reviewed in 2012jan CHOICE.

Marder's contribution to postmetaphysical thinking offers a set of studies of Carl Schmitt's ontology written for initiates fluent in the Schmittian vocabulary. Along the way, it also tackles a host of issues in contemporary Continental philosophy. On Marder's reading, Schmitt properly understood is no statist, antimodern or reactionary. Instead, an essentially Heideggerian Schmitt posits politics as a realm of becoming. Schmitt chides those who take the "state" to stand in for politics as such. In fact, Schmitt refuses the metonyms of "state," "law" or "Constitution," and instead reduces those modernist conceits to the event of the decision, the energetics of the contest from which form follows. If one mixes together Husserl's time-consciousness, Heidegger's temporal being as event, and Nietzsche's idea of a doing without a doer, and then stirs vigorously, one produces, according to Marder (philosophy, Univ. of the Basque Country, Spain), a reduction of Schmitt's main concepts into an existential ontology of "constitutive subjectivity" that is neither metaphysical nor totalizing. The sovereign subject creates itself in the doing, in the exercise of power. Where Marder's Schmitt then needs further explication is the constitutive role of history and temporality. Why reduce the decision to "constitutive subjectivity" and not constitutive

intersubjectivity? **Summing Up:** Recommended. Upperdivision undergraduate, graduate, and research collections. - - G. D. Miller, University of Washington, Tacoma

More titles from Continuum International Publishers Group

More titles by Marder, Michael

+ ADD TO LIST (*) DOWNLOAD A PRINT ME EMAIL

Welcome back
mm892@georgetown.edu.
Logout from My Password





Sign up for Our Email Newsletters

» JOIN NOW Privacy by **⊠' SafeSubscribe**s™

SPECIAL EVENTS :::

Highlights of the ALA Annual Conference in Chicago are now available on <u>Cognotes</u>. Choice Reviews Online 11/11/13 10:44 PM

E COMING SOON

Important Announcement!

This site will no longer be updated. Click <u>here</u> for more information.

USER FEEDBACK

HOME | CURRENT ISSUE | ADVANCED SEARCH | WEB EXCLUSIVES | MY PROFILE | MY MONTHLY REVIEWS | MY LISTS | ABOUT US | HELP

OVER 172,000 CHOICE REVIEWS

Copyright © 2013 American Library Association. CHOICE is a publishing unit of the Association of College & Research Libraries, a division of the American Library Association.